MORPHOLOGY OF PHYSICS

DISCRETE AND CONTINUOUS WORLDS

Preamble

This part of "**Morphology of Physics**" has been developed by Valja BOCVARSKI during years 2010-2012. It was preceded by "Extremum principles" and followed by "Quantum Geometries".

Valja wrote the original texts in Serbian. Additional authors essentially acted rather as translators and/or commentators

What is discrete ? What is continuous ?

С

Question: is it possible, by reducing (to zero) the intervals between discrete values, to reach the continuum ? The answer is NO !

Assume the intervals are reduced by some factor λ , then by zooming the figure by a factor 1 / λ , one will obtain exactly the same figure as before, independently of λ .

This is the basis of the ten Zeno paradoxes (about 5th century BC), among which Achilles and the tortoise

THE RACE BETWEEN ACHILLES AND THE TORTOISE

Achilles, smart guy, **leaves some advance** to the tortoise



Fatal error,... it "never" ends!...

Consequence :

The movement in such a discretized continuum is impossible, *i.e.* the movement is nothing else than an illusion...

This conclusion is in a perfect agreement with the Parmenides conception of the world as a single continuum (5th century BC, Eleatic School). Parmenides said that « the reality of the world is **One Being**, **an unchanging**, **timeless and indestructible Whole.** » Indeed Zeno's description of the race is perfectly logical, but what about the interpretation ?

Nowadays we get the impression that something is lacking, namely **the time** (which had no place in Parmenides' world), and we has to wait till the 19th century to fully understand (without contradicting Zeno) that – don't worry - Achilles will finally overpass the tortoise, by using the concept of converging series

A very simple calculation

Let d(1), d(2),... d(n),...be the successive mutual distances between A and T, and v1, v2 the velocities of A and T (with r = v2 / v1 < 1).

It is readily seen that d(1) / v1 = d(2) / v2 and more generally that d(n) / v1 = d(n+1) / v2. This gives:

$$d(2) = r d(1), d(3) = r d(2), \dots d(n+1) = r d(n), \dots$$

that is $d(n+1) = r^n d(1)$.

Indeed, as r < 1, the mutual distance tends to zero when n tends to infinity.

But what about the TIME spent to do it ?

Till step n+1, A has spent a time

For an infinite number of steps, the series is converging and Tn tends to the finite value (this is the key)

Tc = d(1) / [(1 - r) v1]

But time still runs after Tc ! Achilles, keeping his velocity v1, over passes the tortoise whose velocity is still v2.

Paradoxical Greek philosophers ! Not easy to schematize.

Example : Pythagoras made the (rational) numbers be the **discrete** basis of his world...

At the same time, he knew the straight lines - that he called "lengths without width"- which are **continuous**, and he knew also the triangle, even the rectangular one, sufficiently to imagine :

$$a^2 = b^2 + c^2$$

The general Eleatic question:

How to conciliate a continuum, which is "**One**", with the **plurality** of things existing in the world, and their changes ?

In Ancient Greece, this question was solved by Leucippe and Democrites who imagined the ATOMS (beings) in VACUUM (non-being)

About 21 centuries later *, in Western Europe,

in particular with Descartes, this (logical) question arises again, in spite of a huge mutation in the conception of the world :

- Monotheism and **Separation of Essence from Existence** (except in God)

- The intensity of a quality (essence) is allowed to vary, making a world of time-dependent effects – rather than a world of invariable shapes –

^(*) Actually, in the Arab world, this occurred about 10 centuries before, with different consequences because of a different concept of time

How did the Eleatic question arise ?

For Descartes, Existence is the mass. Now appears (with the concept of time) the dynamics. The basic law of Descartes' dynamics is the conservation of the momentum. Besides Matter and Space are identical, what he called in a general sense "l'etendue" (the extent). In other words, Matter is a **continuum**, which immediately leads to the Eleatic contradiction.

Solutions are very similar to that given by Leucippe and Democrites centuries ago : **Atomization** or **individualization**. However this individualization will only concern the Essence of things rather than their Existence (a *distinguo* ignored by Ancient Greece).

Two famous philosophers-mathematicians and physicists (both are creators of the differential calculus) will realize it, using two very different approaches,

Newton and Leibniz,